

PLANNING COMMITTEE – 13 OCTOBER 2016

PART 5

Report of the Head of Planning

PART 5

Decisions by County Council and Secretary of State, reported for information

- **Item 5.1 – 16 Stiles Close, Minster**

APPEAL ALLOWED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL – against officer’s recommendation

The Inspector considered that the proposed extension would not harm visual or residential amenity, contrary to the decision of the Planning Committee.

- **Item 5.2 – Brook Farm, Butler Hill, Dargate**

APPEAL DISMISSED

Observations

DELEGATED REFUSAL:

Full support for the Council’s decision.

- **Item 5.3 – Land adjacent to 27 Waverley Avenue, Minster**

APPEAL ALLOWED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL – against officer’s recommendation

The Inspector was of the view that the development would not harm residential or visual amenity, contrary to the decision of Members.

- **Item 5.4 – 177 Wards Hill Road, Minster**

APPEAL ALLOWED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL – against officer’s recommendation

The Inspector considered that the proposed extension would not harm visual or residential amenity, contrary to the decision of the Planning Committee.

- **Item 5.5 – 19 South Road, Faversham**

APPEAL DISMISSED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL– in accordance with officer’s recommendation

Full support for the Council’s decision.

- **Item 5.6 – Lamberhurst Farm, Dargate Road, Yorkletts**

APPEAL DISMISSED

Observations

Appeal Against Non-Determination

This appeal was actually lodged on the same day and just after the application was refused under delegated powers, but the Planning Inspectorate still insisted in treating it as for non-determination despite our objection to this. The decision fully accords with the Council’s decision.

- **Item 5.7 – 16 Hawthorn Road, Sittingbourne**

APPEAL DISMISSED

Observations

DELEGATED REFUSAL

Full support for the Council’s decision.

- **Item 5.8 – Glenlodge, Queenborough Drive, Minster**

APPEAL ALLOWED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL – against officer’s recommendation

The Inspector was of the view that the development would not harm residential or visual amenity, contrary to the decision of Members.

- **Item 5.9 – 6 Meadow Rise, Iwade**

APPEAL DISMISSED

Observations

COMMITTEE REFUSAL– in accordance with officer’s recommendation

A disappointing decision where the Inspector has given little weight to the Council’s adopted SPG.